M







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/415,815	10/12/1999	KLAUS-PETER LINDNER	9090-0149	5340	
25267 / 7590 09/09/2002 BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP 135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST			EXAMINER		
SUITE 2700			GARCIA OTER	GARCIA OTERO, EDUARDO	
INDIANAPOL	IS, IN 46204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2123		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Mn

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/415,815	LINDNER ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · ·			
	Eduardo Garcia-Otero	2123				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Eduardo Garcia-Otero Assistant Examiner.	(3)					
(2) Kelly Blaylock Applicant Representative.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 August 2002.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>preliminary amendments</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).	parate record of the substanc	e of the interview	(if box is			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORI MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	(See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTER\	. If a reply to the /IEW DATE TO F	last Office			
		/				
		ΛL_{Δ}				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03- 98)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

INTERVIEW RE 09/415,815 LINDER, dated 08/27/02

Applicant is requested to petition under 37 CFR 1.8 to accept papers as timely filed.

FACTS

- 1. Applicant appears to have filed a preliminary amendment with the incorrect application number on the cover sheet, specifically, 09/414,815 (incorrect). Note that one digit is incorrect.
- 2. It appears that the PTO filed this preliminary amendment in the incorrect file. There is an entry on the incorrect file wrapper which has been whited out, and may refer to the preliminary amendment. However, the preliminary amendment is not present in the incorrect file. Thus, there is some evidence that it was there, but it is not there now.
- 3. PTO sent a rejection for the correct file 09/415,815. Applicant responded with arguments, and with a request for the PTO to enter the preliminary amendments which had not been discussed in the rejection.
- 4. Examiner Garcia-Otero called Applicant, and applicant faxed copy of the preliminary amendment, and copy of one side of the postcard.
- 5. PTO sent Noncompliance, because the amendments did not have clean paragraphs.
- 6. Applicant requested that the preliminary amendments be "grandfathered", because they were written before the CFR revision that requires clean paragraphs.
- 7. Examiner Garcia-Otero discussed grandfathering with Supervisor Kevin Teska, and a Special Program Examiner.
- 8. The Special Program Examiner stated that a Petition under 37 CFR 1.8 to accept papers as timely filed must be submitted, and suggested that Applicant should note in the petition that the inventors of, and the title of incorrect file 09/414,815 are different from the inventor of and the title of correct file 09/415,815.
- 9.If said petition is granted, then Examiner Garcia-Otero intends to write a non-final action based upon the preliminary amendments and upon any relevant portions of the Rejection and Applicant's response which have not been rendered moot by the preliminary amendments.

End